COURT: Do you mean by that you don't recall having said what time it was?

WITNESS: Yes, sir, I don't remember just exactly what time it was.

Q Is the story you are telling now identical with the story you told four years ago?

MR. SIMPSON: I object. Your Honor would have to have her story she told four years ago and also what she tells now. It is not for her to say whether it is identical. It is for your Honor to say.

COURT: If there is any attack on the credibility because of that, that would be a matter of defense.

I understand that if the idea is to attack credibility by showing the difference between the testimony now if there is any, and that of some time ago - that is the idea?

MR. PFEIFFER: Yes, sir, it is to impeach the witness8 credibility.

MR. SIMPSON: It is a matter for the trial.

COURT: If there is any discrepancy existing you might present that as a matter of defense, or as a matter of attacking the credibility. I don't believe that the conclusions that this witness might make now would have any relevancy as to what was contained in the testimony.